

EARSWICK PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the meeting of Earswick Parish Council, held in Earswick Village Hall on Monday 22nd April 2013.

Councillors Present: D Jones
S Wiseman
P Leveson
G Offler
K Pace

Parish Clerk: J Fisher
Ward Councillor P Doughty

Members of the Public Present:- I Jones, J Gambold, A Kirkby, M Clarke, M Cotter, W Thompson, V Gill, A Dudding, R Dudding, P Sokolow, G Sokolow, A Carrington, E Findlay, V Smith, V Jayagopal

1. Apologies for Absence

None

2. Declarations of Interest

None

3. Minutes of Previous Meeting.

Minutes for the Parish Council Meeting on 18th March 2013 were approved as accurate records by the Councillors and signed.

4. Members of the Public.

Comments were received from members of the public on the following issues:-

a. Dog fouling – questions were raised on the lack of dog refuse bins and the regularity of their emptying. The Parish Council (PC) replied that it wanted more bins but the City of York Council (CYC) had said it was unable to collect more dog refuse. However, the PC reported that Russell Stone (CYC) had agreed to place another bin at the bottom of The Village, near the bridge.

The PC reminded the public that bagged dog refuse hung on trees, etc, was considered fly-tipping and offenders could be prosecuted.

b. Dogs on leads – a number of the members of the public objected against any proposals by the PC to implement a dog control order requiring dog walkers to keep their dogs on leads. The main reasons given included:

- An adverse impact on the quality of life.

- Some residents had moved to Earswick because of the ability to freely exercise their dog(s). Others had acquired a dog because there is the space in Earswick to walk a dog.

- It was felt most people do respect others and keeping a dog on a lead would not provide the same exercise for their dogs as letting them run free. Furthermore, dogs which are well behaved should not have to be kept on leads.

- Infringement of liberties.
- It would hinder one resident's ability to do 'recall training'.

5. Ward Councillor's Report

Cllr Doughty reported the following:

a. After consultation with 500 people, York Council Cabinet has decided to close Towthorpe Tip on Wednesdays with a saving of £11k per annum. (Ryedale Tip is also closed on Wednesdays and their residents were coming to Towthorpe Tip).

York Council is looking to save £360k on waste services over the next two years. Green bins will not be collected from November to March each year. Households which have more than one green bin will be charged £35 pa for each additional green bin. Furthermore, there will be additional permit charges for larger vehicles/trailers and charges for disposal of waste such as rubble, plaster, gas bottles, etc.

b. York Council is proposing a cycle route from Haxby roundabout to Wigginton Road roundabout at a cost of around £1m. Strensall Ward Cllrs are disappointed with this proposal as their request for a cycle route from Strensall to the A1237 was previously rejected. Residents are encouraged to go onto York Council website to give their views.

c. Strensall Ward has been given £3,260 Ward Committee Budget. This amount will need to be divided fairly between Strensall, Earswick and Stockton-on-Forest. Community groups can apply. Ward Cllrs would support proposals where 50% funding for a 'project' comes from the Ward Committee Budget and 50% comes from a PC. Ward funding was used previously to purchase new Village Hall (VH) chairs and Scented Garden (SG) benches.

6. Dog Control on Earswick Open Spaces

A resident spoke on the matter of Dog Control in Earswick, largely reiterating the views of the residents given at item 4 above, and a copy of her speaking notes, and papers given to the PC, are appended to these minutes (Appendix A).

The Parish Council (PC) gave background information on why it had been considering introduction of a Dog Control Order, requesting dogs be kept on leads, as follows:

- The PC has been concerned for some time about the high level of dog fouling in Earswick. Councillors had taken the lead in talking to dog owners and putting up no dog fouling signs. An item on the matter had also been included in the Newsletter. The PC was trying to take a responsible attitude towards dog fouling.
- The PC had received a complaint from a resident who felt his child's safety had been threatened by a number of unleashed dogs. The PC had since received a letter from another resident who gave a different version of events. The PC went on to say that according to the Dog Control Orders (Procedures)

Regulations 2006 a dog is considered out of control if it is displaying behaviour that a person considers may injure himself or others. The resident who made the complaint had asked the PC to take reasonable steps to prevent potential harm to children. Earswick has a number of sites specifically aimed at children's recreation – football pitch, BMX track, etc, and the PC have a duty of care to protect children.

The Parish Councillors discussed the matter and the main points to arise were:

- The PC recognises that 99% of dog owners are responsible, picking up after their dogs and not allowing them to 'run wild'. However, everyone must be aware that some children are afraid of dogs. The PC asked dog owners to refrain from throwing balls for their dogs where children are playing, eg on the football pitch. The PC asked dog owners to police the open spaces and ask others to pick up after their dogs. Dog owners should make themselves aware of who's around them and not allow their dogs to run up to anyone.
- The PC felt it was rare to see dogs out of control but appreciated you could not measure a child's fear. The PC also felt it would be very difficult to enforce dogs on leads.
- The work which the PC has done to reduce dog fouling has improved the situation significantly. It was also recognised that a number of dog walkers pick up litter while exercising their dogs.
- The PC acknowledged that, although there had only been one reported incident, a child had been frightened by unleashed dogs. Dog Control Orders can be used for dog fouling, leashing and multiple dog limits. The PC proposed to keep the situation under review. Dog owners were asked to give consideration to putting their dogs on leads when on the football pitch and sports field.

The PC resolved to obtain quotes for signs, to be placed in children's play areas, which ask owners to keep their dogs under control, and point out that their dogs are their responsibility and any dogs dangerously out of control will be reported to the police. The PC will check with the City of York Council enforcement officer regarding the placement of such signage.

Action: GO/SW

7. Clerk's Report

a. The ongoing action tracker was reviewed and the following points were made:-

- i. The Clerk had telephoned RBDA Ltd on several occasions to get an update on removal of a tree which has fallen in the Parish Council (PC) ditch at 18 The Village. There was no response so the Clerk will write to RBDA Ltd;
Action: JF
- ii. The Clerk will contact Richard Hoyland, Asst Rights of Way Officer, to remind him of the urgent need for repair to the Landing Lane Bridge kick boards;
Action: JF
- iii. The Parish plan action plan has been updated on the website;

- iv. Attempts to contact Andy Triffit for quotes on repairing and revarnishing the Earswick Notice Boards are continuing;
Action: SW
- v. Richard Hoyland does not have the bolts to repair the gate leading to the footbridge at the end of The Village. Cllr Offler's husband will see if he can replace them. If not then the Clerk will contact M Whittaker for a quote;
Action:GO/JF
- vi. Mr A. Hill carried out one administration of weed kill around the pond area on 21st April and will do another in May, at a cost of £30 + VAT for each treatment;
- vii. Work on the Earswick Parish Guide is continuing; **Action: PL/SW**
- viii. Mr Warriner has treated the moss in the Scented Garden;
- ix. The Foss Internal Drainage Board (FIDB) will shortly be driving in piles to reinforce the Foss river bank. They will be removing dead trees in the river and repairing the weir fence.
- x. RBS forms to add Cllr Offler to the signatory list were signed;
- xi. The Clerk had contacted Jenny Cook about the limited hot water in the Village Hall. British Gas has said there is nothing wrong with the boiler. Apparently, the temperature of the incoming supply is so cold that it does not get as hot as would be liked. The water temperature should increase with the warmer weather;
- xii. Work to obtain quotes for replacing the Village Hall windows and doors is continuing;
- xiii. A letter had been sent to all residents whose properties adjoin the Enclosed Open Space as a reminder that fly-tipping is unacceptable;
- xiv. The opening times of the Lock House Lane (LHL) (VH) car park are on display. Key safes at both the LHL and Village Hall car parks have been installed. The Police have been notified of the key safe code for both car parks. Risk assessments have been carried out for both car parks. The lockable posts are in operation. Reflective material for the posts was considered unnecessary. Because of the amount of signage at the entrance to LHL car park the PC agreed not to purchase a sign warning drivers of the speed ramp. The PC asked the Clerk to obtain a quote from M Whittaker to paint white triangles on the ramp to alert drivers, as has been done on other ramps in Earswick;
Action: JF
The Clerk had not received a rota from the LHL residents for opening/closing the LHL car park. The PC agreed this was no longer necessary as the key for opening/closing the car park is held in a key safe.
- xv. The sign for the BMX track 'No dogs in this area' is yet to be placed. The sign for the Scented Garden (SG) – 'Dogs to be kept on leads' is in place;

The Clerk reported that the 2012/13 annual return has been received from Littlejohn LLP. The figures will need to be approved at the next PC meeting (and before then Mr McTurk will need to have audited the figures).

An invitation to the Annual Civic Service had been circulated to all Councillors.

b. The following pre authorised payments have been made since the last Parish Council meeting:-

- Mrs Fisher's salary for March;
- £24 to British Gas;
- £432 to A. Hill for relaying Scented Garden flagstones;
- £96 to A. Hill for jet washing flagstones;
- £60 to A. Hill for dismantling shed;
- £120 to A. Hill for erecting new shed;
- £6.65 to Yorkshire Water;
- £169.26 to Glasdon UK Ltd for grit bin;
- £156 to A.Hill for grit;
- £81.60 to Advance Fire Services for 1/4ly inspection & batteries;
- £45.83 to J Fisher for reimbursement of annual telephone charges;
- £17.15 to J Fisher for stationery costs;
- £42.48 to M Whittaker for repairing LHL barrier;
- £3.60 to J Fisher for stamps;
- £500 to Earswick Village Hall Fund for chairs;
- £138 to G Offler for Newsletter printing costs;
- £121.20 (£64.20+£30+£27) to Signs Express;
- £15 to G Offler for blade sharpening;
- £16.49 to J Fisher for stationery;
- £27 to R Warriner for moss control in Scented Garden;
- £84 to M Harland for hedge cutting;
- £576 (incl VAT) to Lewis Tree Surgery.

The PC has received £2,360.52 VAT rebate.

The following payments were authorised:

- £263 to YLCA for annual membership
- £43.12 to B S Wiseman for ink cartridges;

8. Planning Applications

The following planning application was dealt with by the clerk using delegated powers:

- application 13/00609/FUL Fire Station Road A1237 from Strensall Road roundabout up to and including North Lane roundabout, Huntington YO32 9YH (Erection of extension to the rear and associated works);
- application 13/00721/FUL 70 Earswick Chase YO32 9FY (Loft conversion with 3no. dormers to rear);

the City of York Council's approved the following planning application:
- application 13/00317/FUL 23 Earswick Chase (Rooflights to front and rear).

9. Monthly Equipment Check

It was reported that the BMX track jumps had been significantly altered, leaving dangerous holes to the side, and making the take off area narrow with stakes to fall onto on one side. The PC agreed:

- to close the BMX track and produce a laminated sign to say the BMX track is closed; **Action: SW**
- to approach the Community Team to see if they could smooth over the BMX track and make it safe; **Action: KP**
- to approach the FIDB to see if they would be able to flatten the BMX track; **Action: SW**
- to use the chain and padlock from the Enclosed Open Space, for which Cllr Pace has an alternative lock, to close the BMX track. **Action: DJ/KP**

Three goal posts were reported in need of painting and fixing in properly. The Clerk was asked to obtain a quote from M Whittaker for this work. **Action: JF**
The PC decided that the stepping stones were in a dangerous state of repair and asked the Clerk to obtain a quote from Mr Whittaker for their removal. It was agreed the Clerk should have them removed immediately, for health and safety reasons, if the quote was within emergency funding limits. **Action: JF**

10. Planning Application 13/00040/PLANS 12 Whitelands

Notification had been received from Tim Goodall, Planning Enforcement Officer, that a new planning application was to be submitted shortly. The PC agreed to wait for the new plans before making any comments.

11. Removal of plant/tree debris from the Enclosed Open Space

The PC had tried to burn the tree debris (brash) left after the aboricultural work carried out by Lewis Tree Surgery, with no success. The PC agreed to reimburse Cllr Jones £19.07 (incl VAT) for a lock and chain which was used to secure the site and avoid anyone else trying to set the debris alight. The PC also agreed to employ Lewis Tree Surgery, at a cost of £520+VAT, to provide a track chipper and 2 operatives for 1 day to chip this 'brash'. Due to the health & safety risks, and thus the expediency required, and the task being an extension of the aboricultural work, it was agreed no other quotes would be sought. **Action: JF/KP/DJ**

12. Framing Letters and Certificates

The PC agreed to reimburse Cllr Leveson £58.65 (incl VAT) for a certificate/photo frame she had purchased from Marginal Arts to go in the Village Hall, commemorating the Queen's Diamond Jubilee.

13. Secure Metal Cupboard for Scented Garden (SG) Shed

The PC agreed to purchase a secure metal cupboard, up to the value of £120 (incl VAT), to be placed in the SG shed and to house garden tools. It was

agreed G Tate should order the cupboard and arrange to have the invoice sent to the Clerk.

Action: SW

A request for a new mower for the SG had been raised. The PC agreed a grant application form would need to be submitted.

14. Tennis Court Lock

It was reported that the key to turn the tumblers of the tennis court lock has snapped in the lock. The Clerk was asked to contact M Whittaker to see if he has another lock. If not, the PC would like him to quote on replacing it.

Action: JF

15. Replacement of Kissing Gate Leading to Diamond Jubilee Wood

Two quotes had been obtained to replace the kissing gate. Haxby Sawmill had quoted £583.91 (incl VAT) and Westfield Fencing had quoted £490 (incl VAT). Although more expensive, Haxby Sawmill supplied a preferable solution (eg thicker horizontals for better wear and an extra post so the gate does not touch a resident's fence).

Richard Hoyland had sent the PC British Standards guidelines for installing gates, etc. It was agreed further details would be obtained from Haxby Sawmill and that these would be sent to Richard for his comment on their adherence to guidelines, etc.

Action: GO

It was agreed the Environment Group would apply for Ward Funding towards 50% of the cost. The PC would then consider contributing the remaining 50% of the cost.

Action: KP

16. RoSPA Playsafety Training

RoSPA can provide training on playground equipment checks. The training was estimated at £230, plus £20 for the manual, and an additional charge if their exam is taken.

The Clerk was asked to contact RoSPA to see if the PC would still be required to have the RoSPA annual check if the training and exam are taken.

Action: JF

The Clerk was asked to contact the Strensall Parish Clerk to ask what Strensall PC had decided to do regarding this training.

Action: JF

17. Stile in Diamond Wood (Strensall Road end)

The installation of a stile in Diamond Wood was discussed and, in particular, the increased likelihood of more people walking in the wood if a stile was there. It was agreed to take no further action until the newly planted trees are better established and able to withstand greater footfall. Also, before this is considered further, it was agreed the views of those residents whose properties back onto Diamond Wood would be sought and if the idea is popular then the Environment Group should apply for Ward Funding.

18. Authorisation of 2013/14 Regular Expenditure

Items of regular expenditure for 2013/14 were approved by the PC and these are appended to these minutes (Appendix B).

19. Anti-social behaviour on BMX track

It was agreed the Clerk would write to the Headteachers of Joseph Rowntree and Huntington schools to ask if Cllr Pace could visit them to discuss the problem of anti-social behaviour in Earswick. **Action: JF/KP**

20. Repair/replacement of fence by weir

It was reported that the fence by the weir is not owned by the PC. It was agreed the PC will ask the FITB to assess the risks around the weir area, and if there are any problems the PC will consider them at the next meeting. **Action: SW**

21. Landscaping of Pond Area.

Two quotes had been obtained to landscape the pond area. The PC agreed to instruct LJD Construction, who supplied the cheaper quote, to do the work at a cost of £470 (incl VAT) after A. Hill has applied weedkill to the area in May. **Action: KP**

22. Items for Information

The PC agreed to accept the offer of ribbons for the Earswick May Pole.

Action: PL

CYC had been given a key to the LHL car park to enable the bins to be emptied.

The meeting closed at 9.35pm

Joanne Fisher
Parish Clerk

Signed.....

Date.....

Good Evening

I wish to speak about Agenda Item 6

The Clerk was asked to contact the Council to see who they should apply to for a bye-law requesting all dogs be kept on leads. To discuss, agree action and authorise any expenditure relating to the control of dogs on Earswick open spaces.

I am speaking on behalf of many parishioners and people from the local community.

Over one hundred dogs are walked on Earswick PC Land and the riverside area every day, come rain or shine. Earswick PC has been in existence for many, many years and in all that time, we understand the PC has received only one isolated complaint from a resident who felt a number of unleashed dogs had displayed threatening behaviour towards his grandchild.

It is felt that this complaint should be given a proportionate response. I have asked one of the people who witnessed that incident, to write to you independently, to give their view of events, which is somewhat different to the complaint and speaks for itself.

On Saturday whilst walking with one of your Parish Councillors, a gentleman and his son asked to play with our dogs. He then said, they had played for some time with some other dogs. Describing them, he mentioned the unleashed dogs as above. This goes to show that the dogs are not threatening and the complaint was probably due to one adult's perception of all dogs, rather than the norm.

Most dog walkers are responsible; however you are proposing to severely affect the rights of hundreds of us because of the opinion of one person,

Why are you considering this action?

Is it because of the one isolated complaint, or is it due to the dog fouling issue? The current 2005 legislation treats them differently, with alternative proposals for each.

Dogs on leads will not stop dog fouling. The types of people who allow their dog to foul on paths, often have their dogs on leads anyway. The proposed action will not make them act any differently. Only policing, education, signage and most importantly, penalties will do this.

The dogs on leads proposition could not be policed. There is no boundary to the river area, which would not be subject to the same order. It is not clear where the boundary lies.

I think we need to consider the impact this will have on our and the larger community. Only last month in the Parish newsletter, you asked for community ideas. Everyone seems to forget that the largest community event is the dog walking fraternity.

We look after and help each other, we litter pick all of the time and we police the area. This is in addition to the health and wellbeing promoted by dog walking.

This issue is dividing our community - Only this morning, a dog walker was questioned on where she lived and informed about collecting up after her dogs. She is a responsible dog owner and was somewhat perturbed.

The outside areas will become a ghost town if the dog walking community go elsewhere. Very few others utilise the open space at any time. When they do, they are treated with respect.

This issue is not just black or white. What are we trying to stop?

If it's dog fouling, then we need this policing and penalties to be invoked.

it's about dangerous dogs, then we believe that you do not have just cause.

You need to decide what the problem is, put it fully into perspective and consider the impact on the community.

I speak for many who are opposed to this measure. It persecutes many of us who are doing no wrong, with no good reason. We hope that the PC see fit to discontinue this proposal and to consider the detrimental affect it will have on many of us.

I have attached relevant extracts from the current applicable legislation for your information.

Thank you

Additional Extracts
Clean Neighbourhood & Environment Act 2005 & Dog Control Order Regulations
2006

In addition, I have reviewed the Clean Neighbourhood & Environment Act 2005 & Dog Control Order Regulations 2006 which state the current regulations over dogs. There are 5 independent schedules which can be considered under dog control.

- Sch 1 - Dog fouling
- Sch 2 - Dogs on lead
- Sch 3 - Dogs on lead when told to
- Sch 4 - Specific areas designated
- Sch 5 - Number of dogs with one person

It states:

When using dog control orders, a PC must balance the needs of both dog owners and others. This balance is important, in that if it cannot demonstrate this balance, then its dog control orders could be challenged in court.

Dog owners need access to land, where they can exercise their dogs.

It is important that before a PC makes a dog control order it is clear on the answers to the following questions:

- Is there a specific problem with dogs on the area of land that is being considered for a dog control order?
- If it is proposed to ban dogs, or require that they should be kept on a lead, are there other areas of land in the parish available to dog owners where they can exercise their dogs?
- Is there community support for dog control orders – amongst both the dog owning and the non-dog owning public?

Dog Control Order procedures are vast – they are not optional and must be followed, as failure to do so will invalidate an order, leaving a PC open to challenge in the courts.

The PC proposing to make an order must publish a notice, describing the proposed order, in newspapers circulating in the same areas as the land to which the order will apply and invite representations from the public and any interested body on the proposed order – i.e. asking their views.

There must be a proposed map.

There is a need for balance - an authority must be “even handed” in coming to a decision to make an order.

For example, if the order is to ban dogs and the piece of land in question happens to be the only open piece of land in an area that is suitable for exercising dogs and the parish receives a number of representations from dog owners objecting, the parish council would need to think very hard before making the order.

Dog ban orders on unenclosed land - special consideration should be given to whether an order banning dogs from land is enforceable on land that does not have a barrier (e.g. a fence) around the perimeter, to prevent dogs from entering that land.

It is also important for accurate parish council minutes of any meetings when dog control orders are discussed or made. These may be required for court proceedings should a parish council decide that it intends to prosecute an alleged offender. I would hope the full discussion last meeting before information was sought will be available to the parishioners.

The Parish Council must ensure fairness and equity when taking the decision to use the enforcement powers. Residents and non-residents of a parish should be treated the same – this issue is already dividing the community it surrounds.

I Question

How is the Parish developing its enforcement strategy?

The extent to which it wants to use the new powers?

Working out how much the process will cost and then setting a budget?

Assessing how the PC will resource any enforcement initiatives, from back office administration, through to any enforcement officers on the ground?

What consultation is to be given to the community as this enforcement will take resources away from other areas of the parish council's work?

For the attention of : Old Earswick Parish Council

I understand that a complaint has been made by a young man with a small child regarding dogs being walked on the land behind Earswick Chase. I think we came across him a few weeks ago on a Sunday morning and I would like to submit the following statement as a true account of what took place.

A few weeks ago on a Sunday morning at approximately 9.15am I was walking my dog with three other people on the field at Old Earswick. We came from Haxby along the path behind Lock House, as responsible owners and as one of our dogs had done its business on the way we walked straight ahead up the path to put it in the dog bin near the car park. All our dogs were off leads at this point and were playing and sniffing around quite happily. As we started to walk down the field I saw my friend's dog running across to where a man was walking with a small child and as my friend was still at the dog bin, I called the dog's name then blew my dog whistle and the dog came straight back to me.

The dog did not touch him or his child in any way whatsoever and showed no aggression. He is a big dog but as he is not yet a year old remains very inquisitive but more than friendly to everyone and well trained to recall.

The man walked off carrying the child and we continued in the opposite direction towards the BMX track at the end of the field then walked back along the other side, crossed the path and walked over the grass to the riverside path. We hadn't walked far when a voice from behind the hedge and trees on our left hand side shouted, "Can you call your dog back it's jumped up at me three times." My friend recalled her dog and when we got as far as the pond the man came walking from behind the trees. I said to him that we had no idea he was there as we couldn't see him and my friend apologised and pointed out that the dog was now on a lead so it wouldn't happen again. All the time this was happening the other four dogs were on the river bank. During this conversation he commented "Well I thought I would go for a walk in my park this morning." My friend said, "Your park?" to which he replied, "Oh well I know people from Haxby come walking over here as anyone can," but then pointed out that he paid extra for the upkeep of the area. Feeling as though we were being talked down to we tried to reason with him but he wouldn't listen and walked off in a huff. At no time was the child seen crying or upset in any way and at no time did any of our dogs show any aggression towards either him or his child.

As a lover of the country side I have walked dogs around this area for about 15 years and feel saddened that one misguided person, who appears to see all dogs as a threat can spoil things for so many others who are responsible dog owners.

Yours sincerely

APPENDIX B

EARSWICK PARISH COUNCIL - PRE-AUTHORISED PAYMENTS 2013/14

Description	Payee	Amount (incl VAT) £	Total authorised up to & incl £
Maintenance Contract:			
Area 1 cut (BMX track to tennis courts & from Strensall Road to ditch 14x£336 and area 2 cut (VH playground, SG grass, village signs, Strensall Rd 14 x £36 April - Oct	A.Hill	£744 x 7	5208
Area 3 (EOS) £36 * 2 & £84* 2	A.Hill		240
Area 6 (Shrubs & hedges)	A.Hill		804
River side hedge cutting	M Harland		84
Clerk's salary	J Fisher	£333.76 x 12	4005.12
Village Hall Boiler Heating Maint	British Gas		310
Quarterly Fire Equip Maint	Advance Fire Services		160
Water Rates	Yorkshire Water		30
Maint of Football Field (incl white lining)	A Hill		285
YLCA membership	YLCA		263
SLCC membership	SLCC		105
River Foss Society membership	River Foss Society		20
Weedkill Pond area (April & May)	A Hill	£36 x 2	72
Audit Fee	Littlejohn LLP		360
Data Protection Licence	Information Commissioner		37
ROSPA	Playsafety Ltd		240
Website Maint	Parish Websites Ltd		225

Agreed at the Parish Council meeting on 22nd April 2013

Chairman..... *D. J. Jones* Councillor..... *B. W. Ossema*
22.4.13 *22.4.13*

EARSWICK PARISH COUNCIL - PRE-AUTHORISED PAYMENTS 2013/14 (cont)

Description	Payee	Amount (incl VAT) £	Total authorised up to & incl £
Maint.of footpaths & tennis courts	A.Hill		280
Maintenance of Pond	A.Hill		160
Flower Meadow (cut and trim)	A.Hill		170
River Access (cut and weedkill)	A.Hill		440
River footpath (grass cut)	A.Hill		355

Agreed at the Parish Council meeting on 22nd April 2013

Chairman..... D. J. Jones 22.4.13
 Councillor..... B. S. Orman 22.4.13

Maint of Football Field (incl whin stone)	A.Hill		250
YCA membership	YCA		100
SACC membership	SACC		100
River Foss Society membership	River Foss Society		20
Weedkill Pond area (incl. Nigg)	A.Hill	(76)	12
Trade Fee	Lytleigh LLP		340
Anti-Pollution Licence	Insulation Co. Ltd		50
Waste	Wynafco Ltd		140
Insurance	Parish website Ltd		335

Agreed at the Parish Council meeting on 22nd April 2013
 Chairman..... D. J. Jones
 Councillor..... B. S. Orman